

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/717,603	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHANG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Caleen O. Sullivan	<b>Art Unit</b> 1756	

**All Participants:**

(1) Caleen O. Sullivan.

(2) Attorney Harold L. Novick.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 29 November 2006

**Time:** 11:30am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Attorney Harold L. Novick informed the examiner that the inventorship listed in the declaration was correct. The USPTO has corrected the bibliographic data sheet to reflect the correct inventorship.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)